

Emerging markets debt

The **unexpected** rules



Macro review: the unexpected rules

The unexpected once again happened in Q4 2016 with Mr. Trump winning the presidential election in the US. Moreover, the Republican Party retained a majority in the lower house and re-captured the Senate. This was a significant shock to markets, which had priced in the opposite outcome based on the information provided by most political pundits and surveyors. US fixed income and equity markets reacted violently to the presidential elections result. Equity markets rallied 6.3% as measured by the Dow Jones index US treasury 10-year yields rose 62 basis points (bps) and the US dollar appreciated more than 4.5% since the election on 8 November versus other leading currencies.

Emerging markets asset prices weakened briefly after the election but have stabilized more recently, albeit at a weaker level in rates and currencies space in particular. In credit space, sovereign spreads (JP Morgan EMBI Global Diversified) ended up unchanged in Q4 at 340bps after widening some 50bps just after the election. In contrast, corporate spreads, as measured by the JP Morgan CEMBI Diversified index, tightened almost 40bps in Q4 to 325bps. Although excess returns were positive, total returns were negative in Q4 reflecting the sell-off in US treasuries. In the currencies and rates space, the JP Morgan GBI-EM Global Diversified index showed highly negative returns in Q4, driven mostly by weaker currencies but also by higher rates (60bps widening).

For the year as a whole, 2016 was a surprisingly good year for emerging markets, all the more so when put in the context of the highly uncertain global macroeconomic and political environment. All emerging markets asset classes showed a total return close to 10% in US dollar terms. Sovereign and corporate spreads tightened 76bps and 127bps, respectively, based on the corresponding JP Morgan indices. Emerging markets currencies did not contribute meaningfully to 2016 returns as they appreciated sharply in Q1 before depreciating sharply again in Q4, against the US dollar. Emerging markets rates tighten 30bps during the year to 6.8% as the Q4 sell-off could not fully undo the rally of the first three quarters of the year, based on the JP Morgan GBI-EM Global Diversified index.

Q4 2016

	Total return	Spread return	UST return
JPM EMBI Global Div.	-4.02%	0.49%	-4.49%
JPM CEMBI Diversified	-1.42%	1.86%	-3.22%

	Total return	Currency return	Local return
JPM GBI-EM Glob. Div.	-6.09%	-4.76%	-1.40%
JP Morgan ELMI+	-3.59%	-4.36%	0.80%


Year 2016

	Total return	Spread return	UST return
JPM EMBI Global Div.	10.15%	9.45%	0.65%
JPM CEMBI Diversified	10.43%	9.30%	1.03%

	Total return	Currency return	Local return
JPM GBI-EM Glob. Div.	9.94%	0.54%	9.35%
JP Morgan ELMI+	3.54%	-0.55%	4.11%

JPM = JP Morgan
UST = US treasury
Source: Bloomberg
Data as of end-December 2016

Spreads exhibited high volatility towards the end of the year



Source: Bloomberg
Data as of end-December 2016

Macro outlook: what to expect in 2017

As we start the year 2017, global economic activity is on a recovery path and market expectations have improved markedly in important centers, most noticeably in the US. Emerging markets countries have made further progress addressing their structural and macroeconomic fragilities and are starting to feel the benefits of a more stable commodity price environment.

Valuation-wise, emerging markets credit appears to be fairly valued. In our view, spreads are likely to remain in a relatively wide range centered about a slight tightening trend. As it was the case in 2016, duration management will be of paramount importance. We might see a 25bps tightening in spreads in 2017. Currencies and rates will be far more dependent on idiosyncratic factors and the impact of US policies.

The year 2017 will likely be dominated by the policies the new administration in the US may undertake and by further divergence in global monetary policies, particularly between the US, Japan and Europe. Our baseline scenario for 2017 includes higher rates in the US and a stronger US dollar but more of the same in Europe and Japan: quantitative easing and negative rates.

With currently available information, it is difficult to assess the potential impact of president-elect Trump policies on emerging markets. We understand that there are three main dimensions to president-elect Trump's proposals*:

On the fiscal front: a tax reform – including corporate and personal tax simplification, lower tax rates, broader base, etc.; an infrastructure investment plan; and a tax amnesty for corporate cash abroad estimated at USD 2.6 trillion.

* Sources:
<https://www.donaldjtrump.com/positions>
<http://abetterway.speaker.gov/>

On the international front: a trade reform – including the potential renegotiation of existing free trade agreements (NAFTA among others), potential action on countries deemed as dumping goods on the US and, immigration reform.

On the structural reform front: deregulation initiatives on the energy, health care and financial sector, among others, and reforms to the education and welfare system.

We lack specific details on any of the aforementioned initiatives and reforms but such an ambitious agenda is likely to be a multi-annual process. Furthermore, the final impact on emerging markets will depend on the type of fiscal reform president-elect Trump may choose – his own version as per the campaign or the more fiscally sustainable house republicans version* – and on how aggressive he decides to be on trade policies.

The best-case scenario for emerging markets includes a long-term sustainable fiscal and deregulation reform that generates a boost to US growth together with a measured trade policy. In this scenario, emerging markets growth could experience a faster and stronger recovery on the back of stronger US growth. The worst-case scenario for emerging markets includes an aggressive trade policy together with delayed deregulation and a longer term unsustainable fiscal policy that could be detrimental for emerging markets growth and external accounts. This is because such a scenario would likely depress international trade while failing to boost US growth.

Which emerging markets countries are likely to benefit or suffer under President Trump?

We believe that Mexico and China are likely to suffer the most were President-elect Trump to follow on his campaign promises to toughen the US international trade positions. President-elect Trump has said he will revise or even repeal the NAFTA, with a concomitant increase in tariffs and other potential restrictions on Mexico.

Around 80% of Mexico's exports are sold in the US.** Disruptions to that flow of trade will have a detrimental impact on Mexico's growth and inflation, and could further weaken Mexico's fiscal an external accounts. Expectations of changes to the current trade agreement are already having an impact on credit, currencies and rates in Mexico. Several Central American countries closely linked to Mexico's export sector are likely to suffer as well.

China is also likely to be affected were President-elect Trump to name it a currency manipulator and impose countervailing measures for unfair trade practices. In his campaign, Mr. Trump has threatened to impose a 45% tariff on Chinese imports. In such a scenario, almost 20% of Chinese exports sold in the US will be affected. China will suffer most of the impact but other Asian countries closely linked to China's tradable sector will also suffer.

* Sources:
<https://www.donaldjtrump.com/positions>
<http://abetterway.speaker.gov/>

** Source: <http://www.trademap.org>

In contrast, Russia is one country that could benefit from a more favorable geopolitical environment and better relations with the US. It is possible that in an effort to "reset" the relations with Russia the US may reduce or even eliminate economic and financial sanctions imposed after the Crimea annexation. Certain metal exporters – Peru and Chile, among others – could benefit from the massive infrastructure program advertised by Mr. Trump during his campaign.

The impact of a Trump Presidency on emerging markets asset prices

Financial markets seem to be pricing in a significant pick-up in growth and inflation on the back of fiscal expansion and deregulation in the US in 2017 and beyond. After the recent hike in December, markets are expecting at least two 25bps hikes in 2017. Furthermore, business sentiment improved quite dramatically on the back of expectations of market friendly policies.

In 2017, divergence in global monetary policies is likely to increase further with Europe and Japan still in easing mode – negative rates and quantitative easing – and the US in a hiking cycle that could surprise to the upside if US economic activity and inflation pick up under the new administration. Our baseline scenario is that President-elect Trump policies are likely to generate a boost to growth and inflation and a further sell-off in rates and strength in the US dollar in 2017. We expect most emerging markets rates and currencies (versus the US dollar) to remain under pressure – except for those high yielders with a positive structural story. We also expect credit spreads to tighten marginally as the positive income effect of higher US growth offsets the negative price effect of higher rates on emerging markets over time. Interest duration management will be paramount to protect returns in this scenario.

Duration management will be paramount to protect returns

The sustainability of the expected recovery and US assets price dynamics beyond 2017 will hinge on the quality and sustainability of the policies the new administration will implement. In a scenario in which the Trump administration successfully implements a sustainable fiscal expansion and measured trade policies, we expect terminal Fed fund rates to increase to levels not seen since before the great financial crisis. US treasury yields could adjust accordingly reflecting the return of a positive term premium. In this scenario, we expect the US dollar to be the dominant world currency and we do not discard an appreciation event similar to that experienced in the first half of the 1980s.

Review and outlook by **asset class** segment

External debt: uncertainty keeps volatility high, but reviving global demand provides support

When taking a look at the spreads at the beginning and at the end of Q4, one could conclude that nothing happened. Spreads were nearly flat. However, this masked high volatility in the immediate aftermath of the 8 November election in the US, when spreads widened by around 50bps, reaching 380bps over US treasuries, before fully retracing to 330bps, as measured by the JP Morgan EMBI Global Diversified index.

This heightened volatility was driven by fears about the new political and economic path in the US and the corresponding global impact. Later, monetary policy in Europe as well as in the US became the focus for investors. The decision and the wording of the Fed communique led to a significant increase in US treasury yields and an appreciating US dollar.

Commodity prices, which are an important factor for many emerging markets countries, were broadly stable over Q4, which provided some additional support for the more exposed countries. The oil production cut agreed by OPEC was taken positively and helped move oil prices higher. We expect this agreement to support crude oil prices further in 2017. Higher oil prices should provide a relief to oil-producing countries, resulting in further spread tightening.


We remain constructive on emerging markets for the next 12 months and we expect moderately tighter spreads. Overall, political risk and economic adjustment seem to be manageable as the ongoing stabilization in commodity prices continues to lend its support. The most significant impact could come from potential economic as well as political measures that the new elected government in the US may adopt.

Given the relatively uncertain global political and economic environment and technical headwinds from large outflows, current emerging markets spreads seem now only fairly valued. After all, most of the recent spread compression has been driven by external and technical factors, changes in risk appetite and search for yield instead of improvements in economic fundamentals. Even in an environment in which the growth differential between emerging and developed markets remains low, emerging markets countries could benefit from a reviving global demand and, as a consequence, increasing exports of goods and commodities. Additionally, fiscal adjustments should lead to a more solid financial framework in many emerging markets countries. The fiscal stance is crucial for many emerging markets countries as it weighs on the country's vulnerability as well as on the growth outlook. After several years without any meaningful reforms, emerging markets countries realized that they can only turnaround their economic path with broad and strong structural reforms.

Overall, we expect a global economic recovery in 2017/2018 – supported by further accommodative monetary policies, particularly in Europe and Japan – and higher growth in the US. This global policy framework together with more stable commodity prices could generate a moderate spread tightening in emerging markets. Aggressive protectionist measures in the US could reduce the positive impact of higher global growth on emerging markets.

In this context, we prefer higher yielding US dollar debt exposure, where we see higher spread compression potential.

Return disaggregation shows the real sources of return



UST = US treasury
Source: JP Morgan, UBS Asset Management
Data as of end-December 2016, rebalanced to 100 as of end-December 2015

Local debt: the North-South rotation

The narrative for global markets has changed almost overnight after the US presidential election with significant implications for emerging markets local debt. Higher US rates and growth, deflation, potential trade frictions and higher commodity prices are pulling currencies, as well as rates, in opposite directions with emerging winners and losers. The winners – the South – are countries that are more leveraged to growth and deflation and the losers – the North – are the ones more sensitive to rising interest rates and the strengthening US dollar. Even though uncertainties are abound on the Trump administration economic and trade policies, the general trends for a stronger US dollar and higher US rates looks set to continue in Q1 of 2017.

Higher US dollar and US treasury trend will create headwinds for both local-currency and net currency return. Local-currency returns will likely be positive after accounting for carry, while the net currency contribution could be negative at the index level. In our base-case scenario, higher-yielding components of the index, concentrated in Latin America and EMEA, will outperform low-yielders situated predominantly in the APAC region. Thus we favor relative-value positioning with a net long US dollar exposure and moderate duration risk: It will be hard to avoid additional bouts of volatility especially if the current relatively sanguine consensus on the US trade policies proves to be overly optimistic.

Potentially protectionist trade policies by the Trump administration will continue to weigh down on the Mexican peso as well as countries with substantial trade surpluses with the US including China. Trade issues notwithstanding, higher US rates will encourage additional capital outflows from China, leading to gradual depreciation of the Chinese renminbi and other APAC currencies against the US dollar.

On the positive side, higher commodity prices, and particularly the oil price, are welcome news for commodity exporters. The outlook for commodities is positive for Q1 following the pick-up of demand from China and the restriction of supply by oil-producing countries. Even though the sustainability of Chinese growth and commodity demand is a medium-term concern, it is unlikely to come to the fore in the first half of the year. Among large markets, Russia has emerged as an important beneficiary from a run-up of oil prices, the likely cyclical recovery this year, and a potential for easing of economic sanctions.

Despite the global reflation theme, disinflation stories are alive and well in a number of key emerging markets countries including Brazil, Argentina, Colombia, Russia, and India. These are the countries where, barring flaring up of political risks, interest rates have scope to move significantly lower. Political risk remains an important factor, and in many cases will generate market volatility, but could provide better entry points. As a result, we continue to favor long duration positions particularly in Brazil and Russia.

In Latin America, Brazil continues to be the most promising even after the huge rally year to date. Political risk stemming from the "Car Wash" investigation is acute and headlines have caused significant market volatility in Q4 2016. Nevertheless, the new administration has been adept passing critical macro-stability legislation. With rapidly falling inflation and still very weak economy, the central bank has cut rates twice in 2016, and is likely to accelerate the pace of rate cuts in 2017.


Mexican markets are suffering both from the uncertainty following the US election, higher rates amidst weakening growth and higher inflation. That is likely to translate into further weakness in the currency. Colombia has reached an important milestone passing peace treaty legislation and a fiscal reform. Falling inflation allowed the central bank to start an interest-rate cutting cycle earlier than expected. Argentine growth and politics are proving more challenging than envisioned earlier in the year. The market continues to give Macri the benefit of the doubt, but the next year will be challenging given mid-term elections, lack of growth and very large external financing needs.

The outlook for EMEA is also mixed. With the exception of Russia, political and economic fragility is on display in the larger regional economies: Turkey and South Africa. Turkish local markets continued to be distressed as government prioritizes growth over reducing external imbalances. The referendum on executive presidency slated for April could be a key turning point in Turkey with president's focus turning from securing political objectives to managing the economy. We believe credit spreads against US treasuries offer better value than the lira or local rates in Turkey in Q1. South African local markets may start exhibiting less volatility as the struggle within the ANC has reached a stalemate, and the December 2017 ANC leadership convention will provide a political anchor.

In the meantime, inflation is likely to fall this year allowing the SARB to cut rates.

Low-yielding currencies in Asia have been highly sensitive to the strength of the US dollar, and are likely to continue on the weakening trend. Despite high current account surpluses in NIEs (Korea, Taiwan, Singapore), capital outflows are driving the weakness of the currencies. In addition, the Chinese authorities have adopted a stable renminbi exchange-rate stance against the trade-weighted basket since July 2016, fuelling expectations of a weaker renminbi / US dollar exchange rate. The region, together with Mexico, is particularly vulnerable to more protectionist US trade policies setting the stage for further weakness. Indonesia and India are likely to be less affected by these headwinds and offer compensation of higher interest rates. Malaysia, on the other hand, is less likely to benefit from higher oil prices as political noise, and capital outflows persist.

Local currencies react more sensitive to economic and political weaknesses



Source: JP Morgan, UBS Asset Management
Data as of end-December 2016, rebalanced to 100 as of end-December 2015

Corporate debt: yield enhancement with lower duration



In Q4 2016, emerging markets corporates saw a negative total return, a function of higher US treasury yields offset by 38bps of spread tightening. Higher yielding corporates significantly outperformed investment grade names due to their lower durations and higher yield cushions.

Equity and credit market volatility measures declined but both developed and emerging markets currencies volatility increased substantially – along with US interest rate volatility – in the aftermath of the US presidential election results. Emerging markets corporates substantially outperformed emerging markets sovereigns and quasi-sovereign credit during Q4 in part due to their lower interest rate risk.

African names, Russia, Brazil and Kazakhstan were the outperforming regions and countries, while Israel, Mexico, and the higher quality APAC names (Korea, Hong Kong, Thailand, and Singapore) lagged. The Mexico sell-off proved overdone as the "Trump reflation" took hold and concerns over cross-border trade policy receded in December. Mexican credit staged an impressive recovery, outperforming all major countries but Colombia and Kazakhstan in the last four weeks of Q4.

Within emerging markets corporate credit, metals and mining names provided the only positive total return during Q4 (as of 20 December), fueled by a recovery in base metal prices (iron ore up nearly 50%, copper prices +13%, scrap steel up 13% as of 20 December). Financials outperformed while utilities underperformed – industrial names were broadly in line with the index. Oil and gas credits have had a very good year – second only to metals and mining – but during Q4, energy names did not fully reflect the +13% recovery in Brent oil prices, and finished the quarter broadly in line with the JP Morgan CEMBI Diversified index.

Corporate spread opportunities by major country and by sector
(in basis points)



Source: Bloomberg Plc.
Data as of end-December 2016

In 2017, the outlook for emerging markets corporates remains constructive but with growing uncertainty surrounding US policy and the continuation of global monetary policy accommodation. We expect volatility to increase in this environment and would expect to be positioned more defensively as a result. Corporate fundamentals will continue to reflect the improvement in global growth prospects, with better leverage metrics and profitability leading to fewer downgrades and defaults in 2017 (emerging markets corporate high yield defaults are expected to decrease to 3% in 2017 from nearly 5% in 2016, based on Standard & Poor's estimates).

Valuations have become less attractive during an impressive year of total returns – the year ahead will require nimble stock picking as opposed to taking more general "beta" bets on the index. Technicals should remain supportive as the search for yield, while less compelling with higher developed market sovereign rates, still argues in favor of emerging markets debt – but inflows to dedicated emerging markets bond funds have been waning. On the supply side, net new corporate issuance is expected to be manageable in an environment of increasing maturities – in 2017 and beyond.

There remains value in the subordinated debt of high quality bank issuers, Brazil and Mexico credit, and Turkish banks. Exposures to the lower beta countries in APAC are attractive in a higher volatility environment and we would look to reduce our underweight. Lower economic activity and still high leverage metrics in China argue for continued caution. We continue to favor the systemically important state-owned enterprises in China, especially energy-related ones.

Overall, the outlook for total returns in 2017 will depend on global growth and trade, US monetary policy and rates, and continued stability in commodity prices.

Contact

Federico Kaune
Head of Emerging Markets Debt
federico.kaune@ubs.com

Uta Fehm
Portfolio Manager Emerging Markets Debt
uta.fehm@ubs.com

Your global investment challenges **answered**

Drawing on the breadth and depth of our capabilities and our global reach we turn challenges into opportunities. Together with you we find the solution that you need.

At UBS Asset Management we take a connected approach.



Ideas and investment excellence

Our teams have distinct viewpoints and philosophies but they all share one goal: to provide you with access to the best ideas and superior investment performance.



A holistic perspective

The depth of our expertise and breadth of our capabilities allows us to have more insightful conversation with an active debate, all to help you make informed decisions.



Across markets

Our geographic reach means we can connect the parts of the investment world most relevant to you. That's what makes us different – we are on the ground locally with you and truly global.



Solutions-based thinking

We focus on finding the answers you need – and this defines the way we think. We draw on the best of our capabilities and insights to deliver the solution that is right for you.

For marketing and information purposes by UBS. For professional clients / qualified / institutional investors only.

This document does not replace portfolio and fund-specific materials. Commentary is at a macro or strategy level and is not with reference to any registered or other mutual fund.

Americas

The views expressed are a general guide to the views of UBS Asset Management as of January 2017. The information contained herein should not be considered a recommendation to purchase or sell securities or any particular strategy or fund. Commentary is at a macro level and is not with reference to any investment strategy, product or fund offered by UBS Asset Management. The information contained herein does not constitute investment research, has not been prepared in line with the requirements of any jurisdiction designed to promote the independence of investment research and is not subject to any prohibition on dealing ahead of the dissemination of investment research. The information and opinions contained in this document have been compiled or arrived at based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable and in good faith. All such information and opinions are subject to change without notice. Care has been taken to ensure its accuracy but no responsibility is accepted for any errors or omissions herein. A number of the comments in this document are based on current expectations and are considered "forward-looking statements." Actual future results, however, may prove to be different from expectations. The opinions expressed are a reflection of UBS Asset Management's best judgment at the time this document was compiled, and any obligation to update or alter forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events or otherwise is disclaimed. Furthermore, these views are not intended to predict or guarantee the future performance of any individual security, asset class or market generally, nor are they intended to predict the future performance of any UBS Asset Management account, portfolio or fund.

EMEA

The information and opinions contained in this document have been compiled or arrived at based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable and in good faith, but is not guaranteed as being accurate, nor is it a complete statement or summary of the securities, markets or developments referred to in the document. UBS AG and / or other members of the UBS Group may have a position in and may make a purchase and / or sale of any of the securities or other financial instruments mentioned in this document.

Before investing in a product please read the latest prospectus carefully and thoroughly. Units of UBS funds mentioned herein may not be eligible for sale in all jurisdictions or to certain categories of investors and may not be offered, sold or delivered in the United States. The information mentioned herein is not intended to be construed as a solicitation or an offer to buy or sell any securities or related financial instruments. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future results. The performance shown does not take account of any commissions and costs charged when subscribing to and redeeming units. Commissions and costs have a negative impact on performance. If the currency of a financial product or financial service is different from your reference currency, the return can increase or decrease as a result of currency fluctuations. This information pays no regard to the specific or future investment objectives, financial or tax situation or particular needs of any specific recipient.

The details and opinions contained in this document are provided by UBS without any guarantee or warranty and are for the recipient's personal use and information purposes only. This document may not be reproduced, redistributed or republished for any purpose without the written permission of UBS AG.

This document contains statements that constitute "forward-looking statements", including, but not limited to, statements relating to our future business development. While these forward-looking statements represent our judgments and future expectations concerning the development of our business, a number of risks, uncertainties and other important factors could cause actual developments and results to differ materially from our expectations.

UK: Issued in the UK by UBS Asset Management (UK) Ltd. Authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority.

APAC

This document and its contents have not been reviewed by, delivered to or registered with any regulatory or other relevant authority in APAC. This document is for informational purposes and should not be construed as an offer or invitation to the public, direct or indirect, to buy or sell securities. This document is intended for limited distribution and only to the extent permitted under applicable laws in your jurisdiction. No representations are made with respect to the eligibility of any recipients of this document to acquire interests in securities under the laws of your jurisdiction.

Using, copying, redistributing or republishing any part of this document without prior written permission from UBS Asset Management is prohibited. Any statements made regarding investment performance objectives, risk and/or return targets shall not constitute a representation or warranty that such objectives or expectations will be achieved or risks are fully disclosed. The information and opinions contained in this document is based upon information obtained from sources believed to be reliable and in good faith but no responsibility is accepted for any misrepresentation, errors or omissions. All such information and opinions are subject to change without notice. A number of comments in this document are based on current expectations and are considered "forward-looking statements". Actual future results may prove to be different from expectations and any unforeseen risk or event may arise in the future. The opinions expressed are a reflection of UBS Asset Management's judgment at the time this document is compiled and any obligation to update or alter forward-looking statements as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise is disclaimed.

You are advised to exercise caution in relation to this document. The information in this document does not constitute advice and does not take into consideration your investment objectives, legal, financial or tax situation or particular needs in any other respect. Investors should be aware that past performance of investment is not necessarily indicative of future performance. Potential for profit is accompanied by possibility of loss. If you are in any doubt about any of the contents of this document, you should obtain independent professional advice.

Australia: This document is provided by UBS Asset Management (Australia) Ltd, ABN 31 003 146 290 and AFS License No. 222605.

China: The securities may not be offered or sold directly or indirectly in the People's Republic of China (the "PRC"). Neither this document or information contained or incorporated by reference herein relating to the securities, which have not been and will not be submitted to or approved/verified by or registered with the China Securities Regulatory Commission ("CSRC") or other relevant governmental authorities in the PRC pursuant to relevant laws and regulations, may be supplied to the public in the PRC or used in connection with any offer for the subscription or sale of the Securities in the PRC. The securities may only be offered or sold to the PRC investors that are authorized to engage in the purchase of Securities of the type being offered or sold. PRC investors are responsible for obtaining all relevant government regulatory approvals/licenses, verification and/or registrations themselves, including, but not limited to, any which may be required from the CSRC, the State Administration of Foreign Exchange and/or the China Banking Regulatory Commission, and complying with all relevant PRC regulations, including, but not limited to, all relevant foreign exchange regulations and/or foreign investment regulations.

Hong Kong: This document and its contents have not been reviewed by any regulatory authority in Hong Kong. No person may issue any invitation, advertisement or other document relating to the Interests whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere, which is directed at, or the contents of which are likely to be accessed or read by, the public in Hong Kong (except if permitted to do so under the securities laws of Hong Kong) other than with respect to the Interests which are or are intended to be disposed of only to persons outside Hong Kong or only to "professional investors" within the meaning of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571) and the Securities and Futures (Professional Investor) Rules made thereunder.

Japan: This document is for informational purposes only and is not intended as an offer or a solicitation to buy or sell any specific financial products, or to provide any investment advisory/management services.

Korea: The securities may not be offered, sold and delivered directly or indirectly, or offered or sold to any person for re-offering or resale, directly or indirectly, in Korea or to any resident of Korea except pursuant to the applicable laws and regulations of Korea, including the Capital Market and Financial Investment Business Act and the Foreign Exchange Transaction Law of Korea, the presidential decrees and regulations thereunder and any other applicable laws, regulations or rules of Korea. UBS Asset Management has not been registered with the Financial Services Commission of Korea for a public offering in Korea nor has it been registered with the Financial Services Commission for distribution to non-qualified investors in Korea.

Malaysia: This document is sent to you, at your request, merely for information purposes only. No invitation or offer to subscribe or purchase securities is made by UBS Asset Management as the prior approval of the Securities Commission of Malaysia or other regulatory authorities of Malaysia have not been obtained. No prospectus has or will be filed or registered with the Securities Commission of Malaysia.

Singapore: This document has not been registered with the Monetary Authority of Singapore pursuant to the exemption under Section 304 of the SFA. Accordingly, this document may not be circulated or distributed, nor may the Securities be offered or sold, whether directly or indirectly, to any person in Singapore other than (i) to an institutional investor pursuant to Section 304 of the SFA.

Taiwan: This document and its contents have not been reviewed by, delivered to or registered with any regulatory or other relevant authority in the Republic of China (R.O.C.). This document is for informational purposes and should not be construed as an offer or invitation to the public, direct or indirect, to buy or sell securities. This document is intended for limited distribution and only to the extent permitted under applicable laws in the Republic of China (R.O.C.). No representations are made with respect to the eligibility of any recipients of this document to acquire interests in securities under the laws of the Republic of China (R.O.C.).

Source for all data and charts (if not indicated otherwise): UBS Asset Management

© UBS 2017. The key symbol and UBS are among the registered and unregistered trademarks of UBS. All rights reserved.